

Henry Allen & Son
FURNISHING
UNDERTAKERS
88 Main St.
Lady Assistant when Requested

Crown and Bridge Work

We give this branch of dentistry most careful attention. In addition to absolutely painless sessions in our dental chairs you're certain of the very best grade of work at MODERATE charges.

You notice the word "moderate" is in capital letters because our moderate-charge policy for SKILLFUL work is a guarantee of absolute satisfaction.

DR. F. C. JACKSON
DR. D. J. COYLE
Succeeding the King Dental Co.
203 Main Street
Next to Boston Store
9 A. M. to 8 P. M. Daily
Sundays by Appointment
Lady Attendant Phone 321-3

MISS M. C. ADLES
HAIR, FACE AND SCALP SPECIALIST
For holiday social affairs, have abundant hair, becomingly changed. Everything for the hair.
806 Main Street—Next to Chelsea Bank.
Telephone 652-4.

Malaga Grapes
Basket Grapes
Table Apples
Oranges, Nuts, Etc.
People's Market
6 Franklin Street
JUSTIN HOLDEN, Proprietor

WINE, WINES, LIQUORS
AND CIGARS
Fresh, complete stock. Best Service.
DAN MURPHY
11 Bath Street

DENTIST
DR. E. J. JONES
Suite 46 Shannon Building
Take elevator Shetucket Street entrance. Phone.

Overhauling and Repair Work
of all kinds on
AUTOMOBILES,
CARRIAGES, WAGONS,
TRUCKS and CARTS
Mechanical Repairs, Painting, Trimming, Upholstering and Wood Work. Blacksmithing in all its branches.

Scott & Clark Corp.
507 to 515 North Main St.

RINGS, PENDANTS
and
BRACELET WATCHES
Largest and best stock in Eastern Connecticut

John & Geo. H. Bliss

DR. C. R. CHAMBERLAIN
Dental Surgeon
McGregory Building, Norwich, Conn.

DR. PECK
Practice confined to Eye, Ear, Nose, Throat, Glasses.
Hours 9:30 to 4:30
Fridays 10:30 to 4:30
Saturday evenings 7 to 8

DR. A. J. SINAY
Dentist
Rooms 18-19 Albee Building, Norwich
Phone 1177-3

M. J. FIELDS, Florist
39 Ward Street
Carnations, Special Forms and Plants.
Telephone 637.

The Bulletin

Norwich, Thursday, Dec. 16, 1915.

THE WEATHER.

Conditions.

The Atlantic coast storm has passed to Newfoundland and its influence is no longer felt in the United States. Another storm has crossed the rocky mountains and Wednesday night its center was over northern Texas.

Temperatures below the seasonal average prevail generally in the eastern states. The indications are that the Texas storm will advance northeast and be attended by snow and rains Thursday and Friday in the middle Atlantic states. In the New England states, cloudy weather Thursday, probably followed by snow or rain on Friday. The temperature will rise Thursday and Friday in the middle Atlantic and New England states.

The winds along the north Atlantic will be fresh westerly, becoming east.

Forecast.

Northern New England: Fair Thursday; Friday cloudy and warmer, probably snow by night.

Southern New England and Eastern New York: Cloudy Thursday, probably rain or snow Friday and warmer.

Observations in Norwich.

The following records, reported from Sevin's pharmacy, show the changes in temperature and the barometric changes Wednesday:

	Ther. Bar.
7 a. m.	29.70
12 p. m.	29.80
5 p. m.	29.88
Highest 43, lowest 20.	

Comparisons.

Predictions for Wednesday: Cloudy and warmer.

Wednesday's weather: Fair, colder.

Sun, Moon and Tides.

Day.	Rises.	Sets.	High.	Low.
13	7:06	4:18	2:40	Morn.
14	7:06	4:18	3:40	9:29
15	7:06	4:18	4:38	1:22
16	7:06	4:18	5:31	3:40
17	7:09	4:19	6:19	3:40
18	7:10	4:20	7:06	4:42
19	7:10	4:20	7:44	5:43

GREENEVILLE

Funeral of Mrs. Edward Gagnon—Personal.

Wednesday morning the funeral of Mrs. Edward Gagnon was held from her late home, 272 North Main street, with a large attendance of relatives and friends. Rev. J. H. Fitzmaurice officiated at the services conducted in St. Mary's church and at the close of the service Mrs. Timothy J. Donovan sang "Nearer, My God to Thee." The bearers were John Lamone, Frank Gelinas, Nelson Derosiers and Napoleon Derosiers. Interment was in St. Mary's cemetery where Rev. Father Fitzmaurice read a committal service at the grave.

Undertaker Hourigan had charge of the funeral arrangements.

Local Sunday schools are rehearsing for their annual Christmas entertainments.

Work is advancing on the weave shed which the Shetucket company is erecting.

H. I. Peckham of Prospect Park, who has been confined to his home by illness, is able to be out again.

TAFTVILLE

Pinochle Club Holds Business Meeting—Personal and Notes of Interest.

The Taftville Pinochle club held a meeting in their rooms on Front street Tuesday evening and transacted a business meeting. The regular officers were in attendance and it was announced that there would be a special meeting on Friday evening.

No New York Mail.

The local post office received no New York mail Tuesday owing to the storm and they received the Boston mail at 5:15. Wednesday morning the New York mail again failed to come.

Students Take Exams.

Local students attending the Academy are having examinations this week. The examinations are in order also at the local schools.

Personals and Notes.

Fred Stone was a caller in William-tic Wednesday.

Miss McFarlan has accepted a position with the Peoples' store.

John Benoit has resigned his position with the Penman mills.

Miss Renilda Himes of William-tic was a caller here Tuesday evening.

Bristol.—The large silver cup which was won by the Holy Name Society for the largest society in line in the Columbus day parade was presented to the society Sunday evening. The cup was delivered to Father T. J. Keena, who will keep it for the members. It stands about 15 inches high and has a gold lining.

Pine Tar Is antiseptic, healing—Horsehound is tonic and laxative

Hale's Honey

Of Horsehound and Tar

is a perfect combination and an effective remedy for

Coughs, Colds

hoarseness, sore throat and croupy colds of children.

Contains no opium nor anything injurious.

Sold by Druggists.

Pike's Toothache Drops saves tooth torture

GAGER

Funeral Director and Embalmer

Prompt service day or night

Tel. 642-2. Lady Assistant

DISMISSES COMPENSATION CLAIM

Commissioner Donohue Finds Putnam Man's Widow Not Entitled to Benefit by Compensation Act—Employer Had Less Than Five Employees—George H. Estabrooks' Own Acts Debarred Him Also.

Compensation Commissioner James J. Donohue has just given his decision in the case in which Mrs. Ora Estabrooks, widow of George H. Estabrooks, was claimant against George M. Lowry of Pomfret Center, for whom Mr. Estabrooks had been working on the day that he died after injuries were received in a fall from a tree.

After a hearing which was held here on Dec. 13, at the office of the commissioner, he dismisses the claim for compensation under the workmen's compensation act on two grounds, viz., that Mr. Lowry was an employer of less than five, and therefore not within the scope of the act, and that the "death of George H. Estabrooks was due to his own serious and wilful misconduct and to his intoxication."

In his findings Commissioner Donohue says that it was established that a contract of employment existed between Mr. Lowry and Mr. Estabrooks during June, 1915, and that he was paid \$2.75 per day while employed. It appears that Mr. Lowry did not regularly have many employees at the time and had but four on June 24, 1915, which was the date of the injuries to and the death of Mr. Estabrooks. The four men in Mr. Lowry's employ that day were George Davis, foreman, William King, George Sirine and the late George H. Estabrooks.

Mr. Lowry had filed no notice of his acceptance of Part B of the workmen's compensation act, and consequently could not come within its scope. This fact in itself would preclude any right to a claim against him under the compensation law.

From the evidence it is found that on June 24, 1915, Mr. Estabrooks was employed by Mr. Lowry to spray elm trees on Miss E. J. Clarke's place and that he left his employment and went to Pomfret for some liquor and returned in an intoxicated condition and resumed his spraying, and in attempting to descend the tree he fell and received injuries which resulted in his death the same day.

The decedent left surviving him a widow, who is the claimant in this case, and three minor children—Pamelie, 9 years; Harold, 7 years, and Richard, 10 months.

From the testimony, Estabrooks had been drinking on the morning of the day in question, that he was quarrelsome and had employed an automobile to take him to Pomfret for the purpose of buying liquor. When the automobile came to take him to Pomfret he was told by Mr. Davis, who was foreman for Mr. Lowry, that if he went to Pomfret he "was through," but in spite of this Estabrooks went to Pomfret, and on his return he was again instructed by Mr. Davis not to get drunk, and he was also reminded of the statement made to him before he started to go on the job.

In his findings Commissioner Donohue says that it was established that a contract of employment existed between Mr. Lowry and Mr. Estabrooks during June, 1915, and that he was paid \$2.75 per day while employed. It appears that Mr. Lowry did not regularly have many employees at the time and had but four on June 24, 1915, which was the date of the injuries to and the death of Mr. Estabrooks. The four men in Mr. Lowry's employ that day were George Davis, foreman, William King, George Sirine and the late George H. Estabrooks.

Mr. Lowry had filed no notice of his acceptance of Part B of the workmen's compensation act, and consequently could not come within its scope. This fact in itself would preclude any right to a claim against him under the compensation law.

From the evidence it is found that on June 24, 1915, Mr. Estabrooks was employed by Mr. Lowry to spray elm trees on Miss E. J. Clarke's place and that he left his employment and went to Pomfret for some liquor and returned in an intoxicated condition and resumed his spraying, and in attempting to descend the tree he fell and received injuries which resulted in his death the same day.

The decedent left surviving him a widow, who is the claimant in this case, and three minor children—Pamelie, 9 years; Harold, 7 years, and Richard, 10 months.

From the testimony, Estabrooks had been drinking on the morning of the day in question, that he was quarrelsome and had employed an automobile to take him to Pomfret for the purpose of buying liquor. When the automobile came to take him to Pomfret he was told by Mr. Davis, who was foreman for Mr. Lowry, that if he went to Pomfret he "was through," but in spite of this Estabrooks went to Pomfret, and on his return he was again instructed by Mr. Davis not to get drunk, and he was also reminded of the statement made to him before he started to go on the job.

In his findings Commissioner Donohue says that it was established that a contract of employment existed between Mr. Lowry and Mr. Estabrooks during June, 1915, and that he was paid \$2.75 per day while employed. It appears that Mr. Lowry did not regularly have many employees at the time and had but four on June 24, 1915, which was the date of the injuries to and the death of Mr. Estabrooks. The four men in Mr. Lowry's employ that day were George Davis, foreman, William King, George Sirine and the late George H. Estabrooks.

Mr. Lowry had filed no notice of his acceptance of Part B of the workmen's compensation act, and consequently could not come within its scope. This fact in itself would preclude any right to a claim against him under the compensation law.

From the evidence it is found that on June 24, 1915, Mr. Estabrooks was employed by Mr. Lowry to spray elm trees on Miss E. J. Clarke's place and that he left his employment and went to Pomfret for some liquor and returned in an intoxicated condition and resumed his spraying, and in attempting to descend the tree he fell and received injuries which resulted in his death the same day.

The decedent left surviving him a widow, who is the claimant in this case, and three minor children—Pamelie, 9 years; Harold, 7 years, and Richard, 10 months.

From the testimony, Estabrooks had been drinking on the morning of the day in question, that he was quarrelsome and had employed an automobile to take him to Pomfret for the purpose of buying liquor. When the automobile came to take him to Pomfret he was told by Mr. Davis, who was foreman for Mr. Lowry, that if he went to Pomfret he "was through," but in spite of this Estabrooks went to Pomfret, and on his return he was again instructed by Mr. Davis not to get drunk, and he was also reminded of the statement made to him before he started to go on the job.

In his findings Commissioner Donohue says that it was established that a contract of employment existed between Mr. Lowry and Mr. Estabrooks during June, 1915, and that he was paid \$2.75 per day while employed. It appears that Mr. Lowry did not regularly have many employees at the time and had but four on June 24, 1915, which was the date of the injuries to and the death of Mr. Estabrooks. The four men in Mr. Lowry's employ that day were George Davis, foreman, William King, George Sirine and the late George H. Estabrooks.

Mr. Lowry had filed no notice of his acceptance of Part B of the workmen's compensation act, and consequently could not come within its scope. This fact in itself would preclude any right to a claim against him under the compensation law.

From the evidence it is found that on June 24, 1915, Mr. Estabrooks was employed by Mr. Lowry to spray elm trees on Miss E. J. Clarke's place and that he left his employment and went to Pomfret for some liquor and returned in an intoxicated condition and resumed his spraying, and in attempting to descend the tree he fell and received injuries which resulted in his death the same day.

The decedent left surviving him a widow, who is the claimant in this case, and three minor children—Pamelie, 9 years; Harold, 7 years, and Richard, 10 months.

From the testimony, Estabrooks had been drinking on the morning of the day in question, that he was quarrelsome and had employed an automobile to take him to Pomfret for the purpose of buying liquor. When the automobile came to take him to Pomfret he was told by Mr. Davis, who was foreman for Mr. Lowry, that if he went to Pomfret he "was through," but in spite of this Estabrooks went to Pomfret, and on his return he was again instructed by Mr. Davis not to get drunk, and he was also reminded of the statement made to him before he started to go on the job.

In his findings Commissioner Donohue says that it was established that a contract of employment existed between Mr. Lowry and Mr. Estabrooks during June, 1915, and that he was paid \$2.75 per day while employed. It appears that Mr. Lowry did not regularly have many employees at the time and had but four on June 24, 1915, which was the date of the injuries to and the death of Mr. Estabrooks. The four men in Mr. Lowry's employ that day were George Davis, foreman, William King, George Sirine and the late George H. Estabrooks.

Mr. Lowry had filed no notice of his acceptance of Part B of the workmen's compensation act, and consequently could not come within its scope. This fact in itself would preclude any right to a claim against him under the compensation law.

From the evidence it is found that on June 24, 1915, Mr. Estabrooks was employed by Mr. Lowry to spray elm trees on Miss E. J. Clarke's place and that he left his employment and went to Pomfret for some liquor and returned in an intoxicated condition and resumed his spraying, and in attempting to descend the tree he fell and received injuries which resulted in his death the same day.

The decedent left surviving him a widow, who is the claimant in this case, and three minor children—Pamelie, 9 years; Harold, 7 years, and Richard, 10 months.

From the testimony, Estabrooks had been drinking on the morning of the day in question, that he was quarrelsome and had employed an automobile to take him to Pomfret for the purpose of buying liquor. When the automobile came to take him to Pomfret he was told by Mr. Davis, who was foreman for Mr. Lowry, that if he went to Pomfret he "was through," but in spite of this Estabrooks went to Pomfret, and on his return he was again instructed by Mr. Davis not to get drunk, and he was also reminded of the statement made to him before he started to go on the job.

In his findings Commissioner Donohue says that it was established that a contract of employment existed between Mr. Lowry and Mr. Estabrooks during June, 1915, and that he was paid \$2.75 per day while employed. It appears that Mr. Lowry did not regularly have many employees at the time and had but four on June 24, 1915, which was the date of the injuries to and the death of Mr. Estabrooks. The four men in Mr. Lowry's employ that day were George Davis, foreman, William King, George Sirine and the late George H. Estabrooks.

Mr. Lowry had filed no notice of his acceptance of Part B of the workmen's compensation act, and consequently could not come within its scope. This fact in itself would preclude any right to a claim against him under the compensation law.

From the evidence it is found that on June 24, 1915, Mr. Estabrooks was employed by Mr. Lowry to spray elm trees on Miss E. J. Clarke's place and that he left his employment and went to Pomfret for some liquor and returned in an intoxicated condition and resumed his spraying, and in attempting to descend the tree he fell and received injuries which resulted in his death the same day.

The decedent left surviving him a widow, who is the claimant in this case, and three minor children—Pamelie, 9 years; Harold, 7 years, and Richard, 10 months.

From the testimony, Estabrooks had been drinking on the morning of the day in question, that he was quarrelsome and had employed an automobile to take him to Pomfret for the purpose of buying liquor. When the automobile came to take him to Pomfret he was told by Mr. Davis, who was foreman for Mr. Lowry, that if he went to Pomfret he "was through," but in spite of this Estabrooks went to Pomfret, and on his return he was again instructed by Mr. Davis not to get drunk, and he was also reminded of the statement made to him before he started to go on the job.

In his findings Commissioner Donohue says that it was established that a contract of employment existed between Mr. Lowry and Mr. Estabrooks during June, 1915, and that he was paid \$2.75 per day while employed. It appears that Mr. Lowry did not regularly have many employees at the time and had but four on June 24, 1915, which was the date of the injuries to and the death of Mr. Estabrooks. The four men in Mr. Lowry's employ that day were George Davis, foreman, William King, George Sirine and the late George H. Estabrooks.

Mr. Lowry had filed no notice of his acceptance of Part B of the workmen's compensation act, and consequently could not come within its scope. This fact in itself would preclude any right to a claim against him under the compensation law.

From the evidence it is found that on June 24, 1915, Mr. Estabrooks was employed by Mr. Lowry to spray elm trees on Miss E. J. Clarke's place and that he left his employment and went to Pomfret for some liquor and returned in an intoxicated condition and resumed his spraying, and in attempting to descend the tree he fell and received injuries which resulted in his death the same day.

The decedent left surviving him a widow, who is the claimant in this case, and three minor children—Pamelie, 9 years; Harold, 7 years, and Richard, 10 months.

From the testimony, Estabrooks had been drinking on the morning of the day in question, that he was quarrelsome and had employed an automobile to take him to Pomfret for the purpose of buying liquor. When the automobile came to take him to Pomfret he was told by Mr. Davis, who was foreman for Mr. Lowry, that if he went to Pomfret he "was through," but in spite of this Estabrooks went to Pomfret, and on his return he was again instructed by Mr. Davis not to get drunk, and he was also reminded of the statement made to him before he started to go on the job.

In his findings Commissioner Donohue says that it was established that a contract of employment existed between Mr. Lowry and Mr. Estabrooks during June, 1915, and that he was paid \$2.75 per day while employed. It appears that Mr. Lowry did not regularly have many employees at the time and had but four on June 24, 1915, which was the date of the injuries to and the death of Mr. Estabrooks. The four men in Mr. Lowry's employ that day were George Davis, foreman, William King, George Sirine and the late George H. Estabrooks.

Mr. Lowry had filed no notice of his acceptance of Part B of the workmen's compensation act, and consequently could not come within its scope. This fact in itself would preclude any right to a claim against him under the compensation law.

From the evidence it is found that on June 24, 1915, Mr. Estabrooks was employed by Mr. Lowry to spray elm trees on Miss E. J. Clarke's place and that he left his employment and went to Pomfret for some liquor and returned in an intoxicated condition and resumed his spraying, and in attempting to descend the tree he fell and received injuries which resulted in his death the same day.

The decedent left surviving him a widow, who is the claimant in this case, and three minor children—Pamelie, 9 years; Harold, 7 years, and Richard, 10 months.

From the testimony, Estabrooks had been drinking on the morning of the day in question, that he was quarrelsome and had employed an automobile to take him to Pomfret for the purpose of buying liquor. When the automobile came to take him to Pomfret he was told by Mr. Davis, who was foreman for Mr. Lowry, that if he went to Pomfret he "was through," but in spite of this Estabrooks went to Pomfret, and on his return he was again instructed by Mr. Davis not to get drunk, and he was also reminded of the statement made to him before he started to go on the job.

In his findings Commissioner Donohue says that it was established that a contract of employment existed between Mr. Lowry and Mr. Estabrooks during June, 1915, and that he was paid \$2.75 per day while employed. It appears that Mr. Lowry did not regularly have many employees at the time and had but four on June 24, 1915, which was the date of the injuries to and the death of Mr. Estabrooks. The four men in Mr. Lowry's employ that day were George Davis, foreman, William King, George Sirine and the late George H. Estabrooks.

Mr. Lowry had filed no notice of his acceptance of Part B of the workmen's compensation act, and consequently could not come within its scope. This fact in itself would preclude any right to a claim against him under the compensation law.

From the evidence it is found that on June 24, 1915, Mr. Estabrooks was employed by Mr. Lowry to spray elm trees on Miss E. J. Clarke's place and that he left his employment and went to Pomfret for some liquor and returned in an intoxicated condition and resumed his spraying, and in attempting to descend the tree he fell and received injuries which resulted in his death the same day.

The decedent left surviving him a widow, who is the claimant in this case, and three minor children—Pamelie, 9 years; Harold, 7 years, and Richard, 10 months.

From the testimony, Estabrooks had been drinking on the morning of the day in question, that he was quarrelsome and had employed an automobile to take him to Pomfret for the purpose of buying liquor. When the automobile came to take him to Pomfret he was told by Mr. Davis, who was foreman for Mr. Lowry, that if he went to Pomfret he "was through," but in spite of this Estabrooks went to Pomfret, and on his return he was again instructed by Mr. Davis not to get drunk, and he was also reminded of the statement made to him before he started to go on the job.

In his findings Commissioner Donohue says that it was established that a contract of employment existed between Mr. Lowry and Mr. Estabrooks during June, 1915, and that he was paid \$2.75 per day while employed. It appears that Mr. Lowry did not regularly have many employees at the time and had but four on June 24, 1915, which was the date of the injuries to and the death of Mr. Estabrooks. The four men in Mr. Lowry's employ that day were George Davis, foreman, William King, George Sirine and the late George H. Estabrooks.

Mr. Lowry had filed no notice of his acceptance of Part B of the workmen's compensation act, and consequently could not come within its scope. This fact in itself would preclude any right to a claim against him under the compensation law.

From the evidence it is found that on June 24, 1915, Mr. Estabrooks was employed by Mr. Lowry to spray elm trees on Miss E. J. Clarke's place and that he left his employment and went to Pomfret for some liquor and returned in an intoxicated condition and resumed his spraying, and in attempting to descend the tree he fell and received injuries which resulted in his death the same day.

The decedent left surviving him a widow, who is the claimant in this case, and three minor children—Pamelie, 9 years; Harold, 7 years, and Richard, 10 months.

From the testimony, Estabrooks had been drinking on the morning of the day in question, that he was quarrelsome and had employed an automobile to take him to Pomfret for the purpose of buying liquor. When the automobile came to take him to Pomfret he was told by Mr. Davis, who was foreman for Mr. Lowry, that if he went to Pomfret he "was through," but in spite of this Estabrooks went to Pomfret, and on his return he was again instructed by Mr. Davis not to get drunk, and he was also reminded of the statement made to him before he started to go on the job.

In his findings Commissioner Donohue says that it was established that a contract of employment existed between Mr. Lowry and Mr. Estabrooks during June, 1915, and that he was paid \$2.75 per day while employed. It appears that Mr. Lowry did not regularly have many employees at the time and had but four on June 24, 1915, which was the date of the injuries to and the death of Mr. Estabrooks. The four men in Mr. Lowry's employ that day were George Davis, foreman, William King, George Sirine and the late George H. Estabrooks.

Mr. Lowry had filed no notice of his acceptance of Part B of the workmen's compensation act, and consequently could not come within its scope. This fact in itself would preclude any right to a claim against him under the compensation law.

From the evidence it is found that on June 24, 1915, Mr. Estabrooks was employed by Mr. Lowry to spray elm trees on Miss E. J. Clarke's place and that he left his employment and went to Pomfret for some liquor and returned in an intoxicated condition and resumed his spraying, and in attempting to descend the tree he fell and received injuries which resulted in his death the same day.

The decedent left surviving him a widow, who is the claimant in this case, and three minor children—Pamelie, 9 years; Harold, 7 years, and Richard, 10 months.

From the testimony, Estabrooks had been drinking on the morning of the day in question, that he was quarrelsome and had employed an automobile to take him to Pomfret for the purpose of buying liquor. When the automobile came to take him to Pomfret he was told by Mr. Davis, who was foreman for Mr. Lowry, that if he went to Pomfret he "was through," but in spite of this Estabrooks went to Pomfret, and on his return he was again instructed by Mr. Davis not to get drunk, and he was also reminded of the statement made to him before he started to go on the job.

In his findings Commissioner Donohue says that it was established that a contract of employment existed between Mr. Lowry and Mr. Estabrooks during June, 1915, and that he was paid \$2.75 per day while employed. It appears that Mr. Lowry did not regularly have many employees at the time and had but four on June 24, 1915, which was the date of the injuries to and the death of Mr. Estabrooks. The four men in Mr. Lowry's employ that day were George Davis, foreman, William King, George Sirine and the late George H. Estabrooks.

Mr. Lowry had filed no notice of his acceptance of Part B of the workmen's compensation act, and consequently could not come within its scope. This fact in itself would preclude any